The Person in the Pandemic Situation: Social Anthropology Perspectives

Chinmay Biswas

Assistant Professor in Anthropology, Dept. of Anthropology, Sree Chaitanya College.
E-mail: chinmaybiswas333@gmail.com

Commentary

The present brief communication or commentary is engaged to insight the position of an individual in society after the affection of Covid -19, in the light of Anthropology. What brings social distancing in human life? Particularly in village oriented spaces like in Asia. The socio-economic agents are desperately having turned to new platforms for an individual. In protection of pandemic casualty, people of low economic societies have gone from homogeneity to heterogeneity. They believe much more in medical awareness rather than social equality. The social distancing or physical distancing, whatever you are saying, the legitimate fact is that to keep yourself from others in the present situation. The people unwittingly renounced from social work at the time of social responsibility. As a result, we have seen that a person is affected by corona, his old wife is trying to hold him on stature, and nobody does agree to come to help her. After a few times, the person is going to die. We saw this incident as a piece of news in the newspaper in next morning. A few of them had read that news on a computer screen or their cell phones. And the opposite side of these devices human is also one. However, the impressive resonance from the reader's corner leads to the human integrity had seen. The humanity of the community has expressed grief exclusively. While lockdown, in our locality a semi-urban town of West Bengal, India, the people did market to the groceries in maintaining the distance which instructed by government after the instructions of Health department of Country. Numbers of circles had been drawing by the grocery shopper in front of the shop.

It is not the objective of the paper that how much distance had maintaining. It has chief that in the circle people were standing and buying the necessary ration for good living. It had also seen that people had run to grocery shop very fast and eager to collect their subsistence first and foremost because they think in late, the feed may be available. The excess ration collection by the people in the lockdown time is one of the doorsteps, rethinking that individual is unit of society. It was the actual reality that the pandemic situation presented. Some people think for healthy living, that type of behavior has much more seen by choice than combined effort. And suddenly human cooperation ethically was sold, and a new pattern has a build that is 'negative co-operational sellers' because grocery shoppers increase the price of ration items during the pandemic situation.

Consequently, the consumers had practiced collecting excess ration items in purchase to the high price that is may be called negative co-operational consumers. Because a competition had happened among the consumers or people, those are economically low they were not able to collect the high prices groceries items in exes. In this locality, the credit purchase consumers have usually seen for grocery collecting. In the pandemic situation, grocery shoppers ignored such consumers intentionally because they were more interested in trading their goods to the consumers who came to purchase with cash. As a result, the credit (monthly) consumers were waiting in the circle in front of the Groceries shop long time. After a long time shops keeper had given them the goods but not sufficient as they demand, this was the reality. And of the new pattern, social functions were practiced by the people. In the pandemic situation, the Hospitals, Police and, everyday food supplying agencies were open. The personals of these departments worked hard and devoted themselves to protecting people against the covid-19. They were very much encouraged by several world agencies and Govt. People of the society usually were given respect to them. As a result, we read in the newspaper that one nurse or doctor was returning when his/her resident the neighbors give respect and wish with flowers with congratulation. Accordingly, it has also observed that one administrative person who desires to live in the room with rent for doing his/her works some people didn't share a room him/her. Because the people thought the office person finishing his /her duty everywhere and must be a carrier of covid-19, 'we feeling' is lost in that situation.

Police of the entire world were serving a notable and prime duty for people in conjunction with all doctors and nurses. Various awareness programmers had initiated by police departments of localities. They had tried to speak to all people to live in your home. To break the chain of corona affection in maintains the social

distancing. It has also seen that police personal singing songs and deliver the message to the people of urban and rural localities. As a result in society Doctor, Police and Medical personal was being a leader. The concept of General leader and political leader has entered among the Common people of a community in the pandemic situation. And people had more to keep their eyes on Medical persons and Administrative persons relatively a political leader. And people of society distinct the concept of a leader in a pandemic situation. It is a significant modulation or inflection seen that has been in the Social world. They separated the actual leaders from the leaders of political personal. In the education system had also been changes occurred. Unpredictable Webinars have had organized by academic institutions themselves. It is a more flexible program rather than a physical one. Here the speaker is alone and given a lecture on the mobile phone, and on the opposite side's number of the individuals took participation alone as an audience.

The online school, college, and University examinations had held in this situation. Several Whatsapp groups had been forming, and questions circulated through a third-platform through this Whatsapp group. The students had been instructing to give exams in their homes alone. Teachers are taking classes through various platforms, and students are taking the teacher's class. It has remarked that individual and social point in the light of Social anthropology. These are the reality of the pandemic situation in these areas. Many examples have present in this situation in respect to the title of the paper. But this is not the main interest of the commentary. The purpose of the piece is to focus on the actual position of a human being. Person somewhat releases his or her attributes in the pandemic situation. The present structural components somewhat changed unexpectedly

Although that type of change might not have carried out by society in the coming days, the present essay insights into this space and focuses on how a person has returned to new habit. Because the individual is an organic component and the person is the cultural construction. And the paper also engages to what is the reality in a society in the pandemic situation. At the end, I would not be to focus on the social structure or structural –functionalism, a bit of the position of a person in the 'pandemic time primarily has flashed, and that position of the people reflects a new type of society which represents the E-Social structure. The E-Social structure or Smart-Social structure in Social anthropology exhibits the following features these are as follows: (1) a tendency to distinguishable habits, (2) bound to ignorance of social responsibility (3) bound to negative co-operational sellers (4) bound to practice negative consumers (5) Loss of co-operational activities (temporary) (6) Concept of the leader has now been changed in temporarily. The present facts have

also manifested, which does not suggest the actual concept of E-social structure, but it is the reality –the commentary has interested to say.

References

Bose N.K. (1961). Cultural Anthropology.Bombay. Asia Publishing House.

Brown A.R. (1952). *Structure and Function in Primitive Society*. Macmillan Publishing Co. New York.

Cheater A. P. (1986). Social Anthropology. London. Routletge Publication

E.E.Evans Pritchard (1951). Social Anthropology.London:Cohen & West Ltd.

Haddon. A. C. (1910). History of Anthropology. London. Watts & Co.

J.G. Frazer. (1908). The Scope of Social Anthropology. London.Macmillan and Co. Ltd.

Kroeber A.L. & Waterman T.T. (1920). Source Book in Anthropology. Berkeley. University of California Press.

Kuper. A. (ed). (1977). The Social Anthropology of Radcliff Brown. London and New York. Routledge.

Levi-Strauss C. (1963). Structural Anthropology. New York. Basic Book, Inc., Publishers.

Lowie H.R. (1940). An Introduction to Cultural Anthropology. New York. Rinehart & Company. Inc

Majumdar. D. N. and T. N. Madan. (1967). An Introduction to Social Anthropology. Bombay. Asia Publishing House.

Merton K.R. (1910). On Social Structure. Chicago and London. The University of Chicago Press.

Murdock G. P. (1949). Social Structure. New York. The Macmillan Company.

Nadel S.F. (1957). Theory of Social Structure. London and New York. Routledge.

Srinivas M. N. (ed) (1958). Method in Social Anthropology;Selected Essays by A.R. Radcliff Brown. Chicago. University of Chicago Press.

Srinivas M. N. (1980). India: Social Structure. Delhi. Hindustan Publishing Corporation.

Tylor. E. B. (1892). Anthropology: An Introduction to Study of Man Civilization. London Macmillan & co.